



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/460,891	12/14/1999	VICTOR KOREN	1098/OF805	3082
	7590 03/31/2004		EXAMINER	
DARBY & DARBY PC			TRAN, CON P	
805 THIRD A	- · <del>-</del> -		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2644	^
			DATE MAILED: 03/31/2004	4 12

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/460,891	KOREN, VICTOR				
,	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Con P. Tran	2644				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 08 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		i			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing d b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of	of the final rejection.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moterned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate extension the final Office action; or (2) as	on fee under s set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) Method they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c)  they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simp	lifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	:tion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed am	nendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NOT p	lace the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were no	ewly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: 9-11.						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 1-8 and 12.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen						
10. Other:						

## Continuation of 2. NOTE:

Amended claim 1 has changed scope of the claim, such as combining the first echo compensation signal and the reconstructed received signal at a firscircuit node.

Amended claim 5 has changed scope of the claim, such as combining reconstructed received signal and echo compensation signal and coupled to the receiver input.

Regarding Aplicant's argument that Blon does not disclose the transmitted and received signals operating at overlapping frequencies, this limitation is not recited in claim 1.

Also, see Applicant's arguments on pages 8 and 9.

Therefore, further consideration and/or search would be needed.

CPJ

MINSUN OH HARVEY PRIMARY EXAMINER